JUL 1 1 2007

INITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 1421 10/540,449 06/22/2005 Benedetta Crescenzi ITR0046YP

07/03/2007 210 7590 MERCK AND CO., INC P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907

EXAMINER MOORE, SUSANNA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1624

MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 07/03/2007 **PAPER**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ŧ	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Off A-4: O	10/540,449	CRESCENZI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susanna Moore	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware	action is non-final.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/24/06, 4/12/07. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group (III) in the reply filed on 4/12/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that unity of invention exists between Groups (I-IV) because the special technical feature is the fused bicyclic hydroxypyrimidinone carboxamide core and there is no serious search burden. This is not found persuasive because the entire heterocyclic ring system is the feature, as Applicant pointed out. Furthermore, the different heterocyclic ring systems do not belong to the same class. Indeed, each heterocycle has its own name and provides its own class of compounds. The Markush group clearly lacks unity of invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**.

Specification -

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: SUBSTITUTED PYRIMIDO[1,2-a]AZEPINE AND RELATED COMPOUNDS USEFUL AS HIV INTEGRASE INIHBITORS.

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Objections

2. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: the 79th species is missing a parenthesis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections

3. Claims 1-14 and 16 are rejected as drawn to an improper Markush group, as these claims contain both elected and non-elected subject matter, which are parts of different inventions. The choices are not art-recognized equivalents for reasons set forth in the requirement for restriction. Deletion of non-elected subject matter will overcome the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1, 2, 12-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 1, 2, 12-14 and 16, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are

Application/Control Number: 10/540,449 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). The definition for R4, point 2, 3 and 10 have seven "e.g." throughout.

- 5. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim s 3 and 5 recite the limitation "SO₂CH₃, or C(O)NH(CH₃)" in R4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim s 3 and 5 recite the limitation "N[SO₂N(CH₃)₂]SO₂R¹⁸" and "N[SO₂N(CH₃)₂]CH₂C(O)N(CH₃)₂" in R1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 12-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1 and 9 the variable "HetA" and "heteroaromatic ring" which defines R4 on page 7, "...optionally substituted...oxo." This is impossible; aromatic rings have only one hydrogen on each carbon and oxo requires two hydrogen to replaart to which it pertains, invention of these claims. diseases. The only established prophylactics d]pyrimidine compounds such as present here. 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,449 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The therapeutic agents in claim 13 are relative terms, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "HIV protease inhibitor," "non-nucleoside HIV reverse transcriptase" and "nucleoside HIV reverse transcriptase inhibitors" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprized of the scope of the invention. The nature of the instant invention where the method of use claims consist of the compounds according to claim 1 and an additional active ingredient, i.e. HIV protease inhibitor, which is as a therapeutic agent.

9. Claims 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for preventing diseases. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art with which it is most nearly connected, to use Applicants invention. Applicants are not enabled for "preventing" an infection by HIV or for "preventing... or delaying the onset of AIDS." In addition, it is presumed that "prevention" of the claimed disease would require a method of identifying those individuals who will develop the claimed diseases before they exhibit symptoms. There is no evidence of record that would guide the skilled clinician to identify those who have the potential of becoming afflicted.

Art Unit: 1624

The factors to be considered in making an enablement rejection were summarized above. 1) As discussed above, preventing diseases requires identifying those patients who will acquire the disease before Parkinsonism occurs. This would require extensive and potentially opened ended clinical research on healthy subjects. 2) The passages spanning line 14, page 27 to line 23, lists the diseases Applicants intend to treat. 3) There is no working example of such a preventive procedure in man or animal in the specification. 4) The claims rejected are drawn to clinical neurology and are therefore physiological in nature. 5) The state of the art is that no general procedure is art-recognized for determining which patients generally will suffer Parkinson's disease before the fact. 6) The artisan using Applicants invention would be a Board Certified physician in neurological diseases with an MD degree and several years of experience. Despite intensive efforts, pharmaceutical science has been unable to find a way of getting a compound to be effective for the prevention of movement disorders generally. Under such circumstances, it is proper for the PTO to require evidence that such an unprecedented feat has actually been accomplished, In re Ferens, 163 USPO 609. No such evidence has been presented in this case. The failure of skilled scientists to achieve a goal is substantial evidence that achieving such a goal is beyond the skill of practitioners in that art, Genentech vs. Novo Nordisk, 42 USPQ2nd 1001, 1006. This establishes that it is not reasonable to any agent to be able to prevent movement disorders generally. That is, the skill is so low that no compound effective generally against movement disorders has ever been found let alone one that can prevent such conditions. 7) It is well established that "the scope of enablement varies inversely with the degree of unpredictability of the factors involved", and physiological activity is generally considered to be an unpredictable factor. See In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970). 8)

Application/Control Number: 10/540,449

Art Unit: 1624

The claims broadly read on all patients, not just those undergoing therapy for the claimed diseases and on the multitude of compounds embraced by Formula (I). The Examiner suggests deletion of the word "preventing" and the phrase "delaying the onset."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna Moore whose telephone number is (571) 272-9046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 8

· SM 6/22/07

Brenda L. Coleman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

Technology Center 1600

		•				PARENT AND TRADETERS OFFICE U.S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	
	Substitute for form 1449A/PTO				. (COMPLETE IF KNOWN	1
		NFORMATION	DIS	CLOSURE	Application Number	10/540,449	
	DIPE	TATEMENT BY	A ID	DI ICANT	Filing Date	June 22, 2005	
	3	19 TEMENT DI	AF.	PLICANI	First Named Inventor	Benedetta Crescenzi	
R A	R 2 4 20				Group Art Unit	1615	
	(its as many sheet		as n	ecessary)	Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned	
14	Sheet	ı	of	3	Attorney Docket Number	ITR0046YP	_
	_						

			U.S. PA	TENT DOCUMENTS	·
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	U.S. Patent Document Number	Kind Code (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY
/SM/	1	6,380,249	Bl	Young, et al.	04/30/2002
	2	6,306,891	Bı	Selnick, et al.	10/23/2001
/SM/	3	6,262,055	BI	Young, et al.	07/17/2001
/SM/		2003/0055071	Al	Anthony, et al.	03/20/2003
7SM/	5	2004/229909	Al	Kiyama, et al.	11/18/2004
/SM/	6	2005/0075356	Al	DiFrancesco, et al.	04/07/2005
	7	2005/0025774	Al	Crescenzi, et al.	02/03/2005
	8	2004/106627	A1	Gardelli, et al.	06/03/2004
	9	2005/0119482	Al	Egbertson, et al.	06/02/2005
/SM/	10	2004/0110804	Al	Walker, et al.	06/10/2004
/SM/	11	2004/0204498	Al	Walker, et al.	10/14/2004
/SM/	12	2004/0229892	Al	Naidu, et al.	11/18/2004
			- 		

	,				DOCUMENTS	<u> </u>	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Office	Foreign Patent Docume Number	nt Kind Code (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	
/SM/	13	wo	01/00578	A1	Tularik, Inc.	01/04/2001	
/SM/	14	wo	02/30930	A2	Merck & Co., Inc.	04/18/2002	
/SM/	15	wo	02/30426	Al	Merck & Co., Inc.	04/18/2002	
/SM/	16	wo	02/055079	A2	Merck & Co., Inc.	07/18/2002	
/SM/	17	wo	02/036734	A2	Merck & Co., Inc.	05/10/2002	
/SM/	18	wo	03/016275	Al	Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	02/27/2003	
/SM/	19	wo	03/35076	Al	Istituto Di Ricerche Di Biologia Molecolare P. Angeletti S.P.A.	05/01/2003	
SM/	20	wo	03/35077	Al	Angeletti S.P.A. Istituto Di Ricerche Di Biologia Molecolare P. Angeletti S.P.A	05/01/2003	
SM/	21	wo	03/062204	Al	Merck & Co., Inc.	07/31/2003	
SM/	22	wo	01/94351	Al	Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	12/13/2001	

Examiner Signature	/Susanna Moore/	Date Considered	06/22/2007

^{*}Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Communication for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 SUBSTITUTE for PTO/SB/08A (07-05), Information Disclosure Summent by Applicant Parent and Trademark Office; U.S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Sut	estitute for form 1449A/PTO			COMPLETE IF KNOWN			
II	NFORMATION	DIS	CLOSURE	Application Number	10/540,449		
C!	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			Filing Date	June 22, 2005		
3				First Named Inventor	Benedetta Crescenzi		
				Group Art Unit	1615		
	(use as many sheets as necessary)			Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned		
Sheet	2	of	3	Attorney Docket Number	ITR0046YP		

	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS								
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	U.S. Patent Document	Kind Code		Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY				
			-						

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Office		ign Patent Document Number Kind Code (il known)		ode	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	
/SM/	23	wo	02/06246			A 1	Istituto Di Ricerche Di Biologia Molecolare P. Angeletti S.P.A	01/24/2002	
		-							
								<u> </u>	
		-							
	 								
		<u>L</u>						<u> </u>	

Examiner Signature Date Considered /Susanna Moore/

^{*}Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Computer generated form * IDS Form* (IDS Fother), Merck & Co., Inc., -7/12/2005

Approved for use through 7/31/2006, CMB 0651-0031 SUBSTITUTE for PTO/SB/08A (07-05), Information Disclosure Statement by Applicant Patent and Trademark Office; U.S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

<u> </u>	Substitute for form 1449B/PTO				COMPLETE IF KNOWN				
	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE				Application Number	10/540.449			
	COLVENIOR I	OW AD	DI ICANT	. [1	Filing Date	June 22, 2005			
	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				First Named Inventor	Benedetta Crescenzi			
	,			Ī	Group Art Unit	1615			
	(use as many she	eets as n	ecessary)	\[\bar{\partial}{1}	Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned			
Shee	3	of	3		Attorney Docket Number	ITR0046YP			

	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Include name of the author, title, date, page(s), volume-issue number(s) and place of publication.						
/SM/	24	Ratner, L., et al., "Complete Nucleotide Sequence of the AIDS Virus, HTLV-III", Nature, Vol. 313, pp. 277-284, (1985)						
/SM/	25	Toh, H., et al., "Close Structural Resemblance Between Putative Polymerase of a Drosphila Transposable Genetic Element 17.6 and Pol Gene Product of Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus", EMBO Journal, Vol. 4, No. 5, pp. 1267-1272, (1985)						
/SM/	26	Power, M.D., et al., "Nucleotide Sequence of SRV-1, a Type D Simian Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome Retrovirus", Science, Vol. 231, pp. 1567-1572, (1986)						
/SM/	27	Pearl, L.H., et al., "A Structural Model for the Retroviral Proteases", Nature, Vol. 329, pp. 351-354, (1987)						

Examiner Signature	/Susanna Moore/	Date Considered	06/22/2007

Subs	Substitute for form 1449A/PTO				COMPLETE IF KNOWN
IN	FORMATION I	DISC	CLOSURE	Application Number	10/540,449
est	ATEMENT BY	A DI	N ICANT	Filing Date	June 22, 2005
,	Φ /			First Named Inventor	Crescenzi, B. et al.
٠ ١_	APR 12 2007 y			Group Art Unit	1624
/3	use as many steets	as ne	cessary)	Examiner Name	Moore, Susanna
Sheet		of	1	Attorney Docket Number	ITR0046YP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS										
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	U.S. Patent Document	Kind Code (known)		Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY					
/SM/		7,169,780		Crescenzi, B. et al.	01/30/2007					
/SM/		2006/0258860		Crescenzi, B. et al.	11/16/2006					
				·						
					<u> </u>					

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS										
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Foreign Patent Document			Name of Pa	tentee or Applicar	icant	Date of Publication of		
		Office	Number	Kind Code (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document		Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY			
							<u> </u>			
•							.			
				,						
Examiner Signature		/Susanna Moore/				Date Considered	06/22/2007			

Examiner Signature /Susanna Moore/ Date Considered 06/22/2007

*Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USP, 1000 CHIEST WELL TO THE COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF T